



Mid-Coast Water Planning Partnership

- Date:** February 22, 2018, 4:00 – 8:00 pm
- Location:** Best Western Agate Beach, Newport, Oregon
- Participants:** 53 people, see pages 22-24 for attendance list
- Conveners:** Timothy Gross, Public Works Director and City Engineer, City of Newport
Harmony Burright, Planning Coordinator, Oregon Water Resources Dept.
Alan Fujishin, Owner, Gibson Farms
Adam Denlinger, General Manager, Seal Rock Water District
- Project Team:** Jeanne Nyquist, Shirlene Warnock - Innovative Growth Solutions
Ronan Igloria, Ingria Jones, Suzanne de Szoeki, Adam Sussman - GSI Water Solutions

Next Steps

- ☐ Next Partnership Meeting – May 30, 2018, 4 – 8 pm
 - ☐ Next Coordinating Committee Meeting – conference call March 9, 9 – 11 am
 - ☐ Report to the Coastal Caucus on the work of the Partnership.
- Ecology Work Group (pgs. 11-13):**
- ☐ Kickoff Meeting: March 8, 1 pm, MidCoast Watersheds Council Meeting Room
 - ☐ Rachel Lovellford, OWRD, will send out in-stream tool box and will ask someone from OWRD to attend meeting
 - ☐ David Waltz, DEQ, will determine what support DEQ can provide
- Self-Supplied Work Group (pgs. 14-15):**
- ☐ Work Group meeting week of March 19 to continue work
- Municipal / Water District Work Group (pgs. 17-18):**
- ☐ Adam D. will set up Doodle poll for first meeting
 - ☐ Stephanie R. will establish goals for first meeting
 - ☐ GSI will send materials to, Stephanie, to share at first meeting (Lincoln County Plan)
 - ☐ First meeting will be held at the Seal Rock office
 - ☐ Tim G. will bring his assistant, Lee Ann, to be the recorder
 - ☐ Group will set up Dropbox to handle documents
 - ☐ Adam D. will reach out to small water districts
 - ☐ All will review the scoping document and bring ideas to the next meeting.
 - ☐ Group will review step 3 goal

Meeting Objectives

- Review status of Partnership work – recap accomplishments of Steps 1 and 2.
- Review work plan and schedule for Step 3.
- Form and orient the working groups.

Welcome and Introductions

Co-convener Tim Gross welcomed Partners to the meeting and reviewed the MCWPP mission. Co-convener Harmony Burright further explained the purpose of the Partnership.

Partnership Mission

The purpose of the Mid-Coast Water Planning Partnership is to . . .

Develop an inclusive community forum
which examines water use in the region,
identifies current and potential water challenges,
and creates a unified plan to balance water needs.

What are we doing here? Integrated Water Planning

YES!!!

- ❑ Cooperative approach that balances voices and interests
- ❑ Local solutions identified through consensus
- ❑ Focused on the future of water, not the past
- ❑ Built on strong partnerships
- ❑ Voluntary, non-regulatory
- ❑ All about shared goals and shared gains
- ❑ Shaped by the will of this group

Not so much...

- ❑ Not your average planning process
- ❑ Not a regulatory process and does not affect law or policy
- ❑ Cannot impact water rights
- ❑ Isn't about pointing fingers or placing blame
- ❑ Isn't a venue to pursue singular interests or agendas
- ❑ Doesn't prevent partners from pursuing actions on their own

Remembrance of Maryann Bozza

Caroline Bauman provided a remembrance of Partner Maryann Bozza. In 2010, Maryann joined Oregon State University in the position of Program Manager at the OSU Hatfield Marine Science Center, where she led the coordination of OSU, agency and community research and academic programming at HMSC. She was also a member of OSU's Marine Studies Initiative leadership team. A tireless community advocate, Maryann served as a Trustee with the Pacific Communities Health District Foundation, a Board Member with the Oregon Coast Community College Foundation, and on an Advisory Committee for the City of Newport. Maryann was very active in the Partnership, taking a leadership role on the Communication and Outreach committee, where she pioneered the idea of providing educational panels for the Partnership. Maryann will be missed!



Status of Partnership Work

The Partnership has wrapped its work on Step 2: Characterize our Water Resources, and will be launching work tonight on Step 3: Identify Current and Future Needs. Accomplishments through Step 2 are summarized in a Synopsis document that is available at www.midcoastwaterpartners.com.

Recap - Planning process and schedule



Collaboration is a key to the success of the Partnership!

The facilitator provided a definition of collaboration:

Two or more people working together towards shared goals, and reviewed the following:

Collaborative Dialogue

- | | |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">□ Free flow of divergent ideas□ Group gains insights not attainable individually□ Results in –<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Understanding complex issues■ Learning by individuals & groups□ Leads to - Creative solutions that meet group <i>interests</i> | Ground Rules – <ul style="list-style-type: none">□ Suspend assumptions, judgments, and positions□ Act as colleagues – no hierarchy□ Honor 'spirit of inquiry' |
|--|--|

Gang up on the problem, not on each other.

Partners identified examples of collaborative efforts in the Mid Coast region and within the Partnership.

Collaboration within Mid Coast region

- Watershed councils
- Mill Creek and Siletz multi party watershed restoration experiment
- Beaver Creek – OWEB, MCWC, SRWD
- Salmon River – OSU Lincoln City, USFS, private property owners
- Purchase of land on Yachats River – Yachats, OWEB, Trust for Public Lands
- City of Newport & Seal Rock WD working on water use
- Toledo – Georgia Pacific collaboration on water supply
- Toledo ad hoc committee on resources
- Eclipse preparation last summer
- Development of hatch for fisheries
- Intergovernmental and tribal efforts
- Chamber and tourism goals
- USFS Siuslaw Natl forest stewardship groups and collaboration
- Salmon River estuary restoration
- Oregon Plan for Salmon and stream enhancement projects
- TMDL (Total Maximum Daily Load) process
- Marine Reserves project
- Port of Toledo boat haul out project

- City of Toledo water supply on Siletz & Mill Creek – water reservoir, restoration or slough, conservation
- Repurposing Newport swim pool – several agencies participated (LCSD, City of Newport, Oregon Coast Community Forest Assoc.)
- Chambers of commerce
- Emergency CERT volunteer first responders and collaboration within communities to work on tsunami preparation and working with communities to keep us safe – funding for disaster planning
- Marine Reserve Process
- Development of catch reduction devised
- OSU/Weyerhaeuser/ODFW – effect of large wood in streams
- OFIC – Alsea Watershed Study – USGS
- RAIN – Regional Accelerator Innovation Network
- Marine Sector solutions Work Group
- LSWCD and DEQ water quality monitoring
- Intertie between Newport and Seal Rock Water District – funding through FEMA/OEM/IFA
- Improved fish and shellfish management quotas
- Port of Newport commercial developments
- Watersheds Councils – Farm to Forest tour
- Oregon Plan – Stream Enhancement Project
- Coastal Coho Business Plan
- Rock Creek tributary restoration
- Lincoln City and Lincoln Water District cooperative efforts for water and parks plan
- Private timber and ecology focused groups/projects
- Collaboration between stewardship groups (watersheds councils, soil & water conservation districts, USFS, Siuslaw Stewardship group, Oregon Dunes Restoration, Yachats Science and Art)



Collaboration within the Mid-Coast Water Planning Partnership

- Field tour planning
- Field Tour 3 – collaboration of S. County water providers
- Coordinating Committee
- Communication and Outreach committee
- Networking that happens within this Partnership – makes a difference
- Grant proposals that were written and presented – and were successful in getting funding
- Initiation of dialogue recognizing potential for contamination of rivers from a variety of sources
- Large sequoia on private property – worked together to drop the tree, get it milled, use it for fish habitat, etc.
- This group developed a mission statement!
- Study group work to develop documents for Step 2 of the planning process
- Cooperative partnership meetings – number of people involved from many different organizations and individuals
- Support that City of Newport has seen for funding of Big Creek Dam restoration
- Working on maps to identify vulnerabilities
- Thank Newport for vision 2040 – wonderful for communities – and we are here to help get it done
- Coastal Coho process
- Map of what is important to the region
- Networking with agencies and local people
- Timber – local owners coordinating the cities and water districts
- Getting other funders involved
- Getting the technical resources needed for the planning process
- Getting the word out to the media

Addition of Local co-conveners

Tim Gross explained that he and Harmony Burright have been co-conveners for the first 2 steps of the planning process to help the Partnership form and get organized. As we move into Step 3 of the planning process, we need some additional help, and we want to provide more opportunities for local leadership. The Coordinating Committee recommends the addition of 2 local co-conveners:

- Adam Denlinger, General Manager of Seal Rock Water District
- Alan Fujishin, owner of Gibson Farms

The Partnership voted unanimously to ratify the addition of the two local co-conveners as proposed.

Launch of Step 3: Identify Current and Future Needs – Ronan Igloria, Ingria Jones, and Suzanne de Szoeki, GSI Water Solutions

Objectives for Step 3 of the planning process:

- Identify and prioritize water-related needs and vulnerabilities in the Mid-Coast Basin:
 - Out-of-stream and instream
 - Direct and indirect
 - Near and long-term
- Define and quantify needs to set up Step 4 implementation
 - Step 4: Develop actionable strategies, policies, and projects
- Ronan Igloria pointed out that a one-page summary of issues and vulnerabilities identified in Step Two is included in the synopsis document available on www.midcoastwaterpartners.com

Step 3 – Work Plan

- Establish Work Groups (WGs) and focus areas
- Develop scoping documents and review data
- Conduct needs and vulnerability assessments
- Prepare documentation
- Consensus and scoping for Step 4

Step 3 – Timeline

	2018				2019	
Task	Jan-Mar	Apr-Jun	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	Apr-Jun
Work Group & Focus Areas	PP					
Scoping Documents & Data Review			PP			
Needs & Vulnerabilities				PP		
Documentation					PP	
Consensus & Scoping Step 4						PP

Climate Change Analysis – US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) will assist

- Climate change is linked to key vulnerabilities in the Mid-Coast (flood, drought, sea level change, precipitation patterns).
- USACE provides Planning Assistance Grants – Corps provides expertise in leveraging national and regional studies
- Qualitative survey-level assessment will be conducted
 - Relevant to focus issues identified by Work Groups
 - USACE Contacts: Spencer Narron (administration) and Keith Duffy (technical)

Work Group (WG) Formation and Function

- Three work groups will be formed to participate in the assessment to identify current and future needs:
 - Municipal and Water Districts (Out-of-Stream)
 - Self-Supplied (Out-of-Stream)
 - Ecology (In-Stream)
- In addition, the Communication and Outreach group will continue to work on communication, education, and outreach for the Partnership.
- Work Group Function:
 - Prioritize focus issues for needs assessment
 - Support data collection / information gathering
 - Support technical analysis (self-assigned)
 - Review findings and recommendations
- Why prioritize?
 - Long list of needs and vulnerabilities – it will be important to prioritize what we want to focus on.
 - Recognize past work – a lot of information was developed in step 2.
 - Prioritizing is a way of bringing in all of the various interests in the mid coast, and a way of providing an opportunity for individuals to express perspectives.
 - Prioritizing is a way of moving forward and getting us to step 4 to develop solutions.
 - Prioritization will help the Partnership to seek additional funding.
- How will we prioritize what we want to focus on?
 1. Look at priorities of state and federal agencies to provide context
 2. Get input from local stakeholders and find out from your experience what are the major issues – in-stream and out-of-stream.

Planning tools that we will use in this process – conceptual model and scoping documents

- Conceptual Model:
 - Map depicting the 8 basin.
 - We can add GIS layers to record info that is important to the Partnership's work.
 - We will look at these basins in greater detail to identify water supply and ecological issues that need to be addressed.
 - We will identify what information we have, where we have info gaps, and how we might fill these gaps.
 - This process will help us to keep developing and refining information.
- Scoping documents - Will guide the WGs through the process and provide a format for them to collect the information
- These tools are designed to support increased investment of the WGs in the planning process and to direct GSI analytical work.

Questions?

Tim Gross asked how well the state and federal priorities align with our Partnership goals. Suzanne responded that we will have an opportunity to look at whether state and federal priorities align with Partnership goals in this process, as well as identify data gaps. This will help us identify where we need more information that we need to move forward.

Adam Sussman commented on data collection – We want to be clear that we are collecting existing information that is readily accessible. We won't be able to go out and do comprehensive studies on streams that do not already exist. We may identify that a new study is needed, but we won't be conducting that study in this Step – but we may decide we want to seek funding to conduct a new study in the future.

Tim Gross asked: At what point in planning step 3 will the working groups be able to share their work so that we can all understand the big picture? Ronan responded that GSI will assist the WGs and there will be a Coordinating Committee member on each group to help us to link the groups together and communicate across groups. There will also be an opportunity to share work group progress at Partnership meetings.

Ronan Igloria commented that we will first form the Work Groups and ask them to identify focus areas and then determine overlap between in-stream and out-of-stream needs.

Ronan Igloria asked the Partnership to identify some specific issues to tackle in Step 3, following are the responses:

- Making sure we leave enough water in rivers for fish.
- Natural storage – ecological processes.

- Listing of in-stream and out-of-stream issues is included on the one page synopsis – we need to get into the details of these issues during Step 3.

Wayne Hoffman commented: If the Muni/Water Dist. group can ask themselves – if my municipality or water district needs to develop more water, what water bodies would we look to? Then, early in the process, provide this information to the in-stream group so that we can analyze how much water withdrawal would impair that stream.

Ronan Igloria - As each WG develops their priorities – we will check back in with the other WGs to find cross-cutting opportunities.

Tim Gross – One of the topics that would be important for our WG is storage. We need to plan how this impacts water supply and ecology. We need to make sure we communicate back and forth so that we have a good plan for all of us.

Landowner – Conservation will also be an important part of the discussion.

Paul Engelmeyer – Conservation should be in everyone’s list of the best practices.

Cyndi Karp – Recovery of beaver is important for ecology. We need them to store water for us. They can also dam up the rivers and streams, and it is legal. We need to use the beavers’ smarts.

Ronan Igloria – WG’s also need to track potential solutions.

Paul Robertson – We also need to discuss groundwater resources.

Jackie Mikalonis – We need to report to the Coastal Caucus on the work of the Partnership.

Formation of Working Groups

The Working Groups (WG) (Municipal and Water District, Self-Supplied, and Ecology) each conducted a 1-hour breakout meeting to:

- Review the ‘charge’ of the work group
- Review WG scoping documents
- Identify resources for the WG
- Identify WG organization and roles
- Identify WG function and next steps

The Communication and Outreach (C/O) group also conducted a breakout meeting to continue their work.

See following pages for a summary of the WG and C/O meetings.

Work Group: In-Stream/Ecology 2/22/18



Participants:

Name	Representing
Suzanne de Szoeki	GSI Water Solutions
Josh Brainerd	Devils Lake WD
Maryanne Reiter	Weyerhaeuser
Rachel Lovellford	OWRD
Wayne Hoffman	MidCoast Watersheds Council
Leon Nelson	Beverly Beach WD
Joyce Sherman	Stewards of Rocky Creek
Mike Totey	OR Dept. of Forestry
John Spangler	OR Dept. of Fish & Wildlife
Paul Engelmeyer	Wetlands Conservancy
Jitesh Pattni	OR Dept. of Fish & Wildlife
David Waltz	OR DEQ
Vince Mastropietro	self
Penelope Kaczmarek	self
Cyndi Karp	Ecosystem Advocate

Work Group Spokesperson: Joyce Sherman

Coordinating Committee Representative: Wayne Hoffman

Meeting times and format: Tuesdays and Thursdays, afternoons, are best

Kickoff Meeting: March 8, 1 pm, MidCoast Watersheds Council Meeting Room

In-Stream/Ecology Next Steps:

- ☐ Rachel Lovellford will send out in-stream tool box and will ask someone from OWRD to attend meeting
- ☐ David Waltz will determine what support DEQ can provide

Discussion:

1. Priority issues to address in planning process

- IDGW contamination issues.
- Thompson Creek 303D listed – impacted with bacteria – need to address.
- Fish habitat on Siletz – evidence-based – understand fish habitat needs.
- Technical assistance requests.
- Water rights issues.
- Growth and development impacts runoff. Logging, followed by development, increases runoff in winter and less absorption because of increase in impervious surfaces.
- Tools to quantify in-stream demand.
- Develop better quantitative understanding of temperature impairment and summertime flows so we can determine how much water can be withdrawn before impacting temperature.
- Emergency water use – wildland fire protection. Seasonal low stream flow corresponds with high fire risk.
- Increased water demand and increased regulations – how will we meet population demands.
- Water for fish! Get fish back in Logan Creek and Rocky Creek.
- Individual wells.
- In-stream flow needs for fish (3).
- Improving stream flows where possible – especially in summer. (2)
- Water quality and quantity.
- Look for opportunities for voluntary actions to meet water quality standards across basin.
- Flows in summer (Sept. & Oct. especially).
- Understand current and future rain and precipitation patterns.
- How to determine water allocations.

2. Work Group ‘charge’

- Prioritize focus issues for needs assessment.
- Support data collection/information gathering.
 - Identify data gaps and identify funding to fill the gaps
 - Utilize volunteer data collection resources
 - Identify minimum in-stream flows
- Support technical analysis.
 - OWRD can help with some of this
- Review findings and recommendations.

3. In-Stream/Ecology Work Group Documents

- Scoping document – outline missing topics.
 - Key drivers – likelihood of use
 - Ecological functions for priority species – Coho Recovery Plan
 - Ecological function – health of river from hydrological point of view
 - DSL USACE – new report coming out tomorrow
 - Reservoirs – impact downstream to maintain ecological function
 - Urbanization (i.e. development)
 - Natural stream flow (this is information needed by all of the work groups)
 - Infrastructure priorities/conflicts – bank stabilization and roads
 - Legal drivers – water rights
 - Short-term and long-term strategies
 - Geographic scale – withdrawals – responsive to existing and proposed
- Need more discussion on this topic at next meeting
- Scoping table format – need to discuss at next meeting

4. Work Group resources – people and information

- To be discussed at next meeting

**Work Group: Out-of-Stream
Self-Supplied Water Users
2/22/18**



Participants:

Name	Representing
Dave Wilson	Siletz River Landowner
John Sullivan	Siletz River Landowner
Paul Robertson	Robertson Environmental
Alan Fujishin	Gibson Farms
Audrey Sweet	Lincoln SWCD
Seth Barnes	OR Forest Industries Council
Penelope Kaczmarek	Siletz CRA
Nikki Hendricks	OWRD
Don & June Larsen	Siletz Watershed Council
Mellony Hoskinson	OWRD
Don Andri	OCCFA
Cyndi Karp	Ecosystem Advocate

Work Group Spokesperson: Audrey Sweet

Coordinating Committee Representative: Alan Fujishin

Meeting times and format: TBD

Kickoff Meeting: To be scheduled for week of March 19

Next Steps: Work Group meeting week of March 19 to continue work

Self-Supplied Water Users Discussion:

1. Priority issues to address in planning process

- Groundwater concerns – individual landowners. (Audrey)
- Learning about needs of private landowners and where they get water. (Seth)
- Water of largest landowners and stresses from water use. (Penelope)
- Incorporating new technologies for water. (Nikki)
- Clean water and adequate supplies. (June)
- How to provide technical support. (Mellony)
- Adequate testing of local wells to make sure we are not contaminating water supply. (David W.)
- Bio solids application concern – ensure water quality. (John S.)
- Well water monitoring – quality and quantity. (Paul)
- Seasonal water supply. (Alan)

2. Work Group ‘charge’

- Prioritize focus issues for needs assessment.
- Support data collection.
- Support technical analysis
- Review findings and recommendations

3. Work Group Scoping Documents

- Scoping document outline – missing topics –
 - Small landowners – aggregate together, analyze as one
 - Older vs. newer systems
 - Higher / lower
 - Different water basins
 - Water sources
 - Rainwater collection
 - Purchased water
 - Small ‘hobby’ farms
- Scoping table format – input – discuss at next meeting

4. Work Group Resources

- Domestic Well Safety Program – Oregon Health Authority (OHA)
- Groundwater hydrology information
- Groundwater data base
- Amy Chapman – Health and Human Services (HHS)
- DEQ – Approved bio solids application sites

**Work Group: Out-of-Stream
Municipal and Water Districts
2/22/18**



Participants:

Name	Representing
Stephanie Reid	Lincoln City
Chris Kowitz	OWRD
Mary Camarata	DEQ
Jackie Mikalonis	Governors Regional Solutions Team
Adam Denlinger	Seal Rock WD
Jim Tooke	Yachats City Council
Ingria Jones	GSI Water Solutions
Bradley Wynn	Seal Rock WD
Mike Adams	City of Toledo
Preson Phillips	OR Parks & Rec Dept.
Don Andri	Oregon Coast Com Forest Assoc.
Tim Gross	City of Newport
Cyndi Karp	Ecosystem Advocate

Work Group Spokesperson: Stephanie Reid

Coordinating Committee Representative: Tim Gross, Adam Denlinger, Jim Tooke, Jackie Mikalonis

Meeting times and format: TBD via Doodle Poll

Kickoff Meeting: TBD via Doodle Poll

Municipal and Water Districts Next Steps:

- Adam D. will set up Doodle poll for first meeting
- Stephanie will establish goals for first meeting
- GSI will send materials to, Stephanie, to share at first meeting (Lincoln County Plan)
- First meeting will be held at the Seal Rock office
- Tim G. will bring his assistant, Lee Ann, to be the recorder
- Group will set up Dropbox to handle documents
- Adam D. will reach out to small water districts
- All will review the scoping document and bring ideas to the next meeting.
- Group will review step 3 goal

Discussion:

5. Priority issues to address in planning process

- Making sure there is integration of ideas.
- NOT continuing non-sustainable facilities.
- Restoration and a healthy forest.
- Align resources to help push this process forward (the whole state needs this type of process).
- Providing water to our community. Secure water supply, by engaging with neighbors to make wise decisions.
- Balance all needs and make smart decisions.
- Over time provide a plan for people and natural resources.
- Here to assist (OWRD).
- Here to provide technical assistance with drinking water resources – need to understand the value of a clean water source for the future (DEQ).
- Providing service to our community. We ALL struggle with some of the same issues. We need to come together as a region and develop a regional perspective on how to take advantage of opportunities, now and in the future. We need to work together to find a solution for built systems (throughout the region) that is sustainable.
- Water supply for the City of Yachats – we have many challenges. Need to have some kind of regional system – water quality and quantity are priorities.

6. Work Group 'charge'

- Prioritize focus issues for needs assessment.
- Support data collection / information gathering.
- Support technical analysis
- Review findings and recommendations

7. Municipal and Water Districts Work Group Scoping Documents

- Scoping document outline – missing topics –
 - Outreach to people who are not at the table
 - How you operate your system
 - Billing
 - Managing customers
 - Affordability of water
 - On-going maintenance!
- Scoping table format – input – discuss at next meeting

ACTION:

- Group will review the scoping document and bring ideas to the next meeting.
- Review Step 3 Goal

8. Work Group Resources

- Oregon Health Authority

9. Working Group Orientation and Roles

- Spokesperson – Stephanie Reid
- Coordinating Committee Members - Tim Gross, Adam Denlinger, Jim Tooke
- Other Roles:
 - Stephanie will establish goals for first meeting
 - Adam will set up Doodle poll for first meeting
 - Meetings will be held at the Seal Rock office
 - Tim G. will bring his assistant, Lee Ann, to be the recorder,
 - Group will set up Dropbox to handle documents
 - Need to identify others we need to reach out to (Adam D. will reach out to small water districts)



Communication and Outreach

2/22/18



Outreach – Message

- Social Media
- Infographics and messages
- Media release
- Radio spots (donated) – ‘public announcement’
- Brochures –
 - Saying what?
 - Where distributed?
 - Intro to process – drive to website – PPT & script w/talking points
- Public speaking presentations, engagements
- PR / media specialist – public message from Step 2 – Need \$\$
- Desired outcomes
- Toolbox for Partners

Story Map


- Summary from facilitators
- Study Group executive summary
- Study Group Members/Leads
- Conceptual Maps
- Photographs, quotes, videos, GIS maps, infographics, key findings
- Posters from last meeting

Engagement

- ‘What is important to you?’
- Listen.
- Report back.
- 3 new constituencies for each Working Group.

Funding Status Report – Tim Gross and Alan Fujishin

Awarded Funding thus far . . .



Funding Status – How can YOU help?

- To continue the planning process through the end of FY 18-19 (June 30, 2019), the Partnership needs an additional \$285,000.
- Using resources efficiently during Step 3 is essential.
- We are approaching potential funding sources both inside and outside the Partnership.
- **Your *personal* participation in partnership activities is the basis of our success!** Facilitating that participation and leveraging it toward results requires funding.

How does participation in the MCWPP benefit your organization?

- Water Suppliers/Cities
- Natural Resource Industries
- Local Businesses
- Conservation Groups
- Community Development
- Local Residents

Consider other ways you can sustain the Partnership:

- Consider hosting a MCWPP meeting in the future, providing a venue or sponsoring a meal for participants.
- Coordinate with other partners to develop and host a field tour.
- Develop an informational panel discussion or presentation for the Partnership.
- Sponsor the cost of consultants' time to attend the MCWPP meetings.



TIMOTHY GROSS

DIR. OF PW/CITY ENGINEER
CITY OF NEWPORT

T.GROSS@NEWPORTOREGON.GOV

541-574-3369

HARMONY BURRIGHT

PLANNING COORDINATOR
OREGON WATER RESOURCES

HARMONY.S.BURRIGHT@OREGON.GOV

503-986-0913

ALAN FUJISHIN

CO-MANAGER
GIBSON FARMS, SILETZ

ALAN.GIBSONFARMS@GMAIL.COM

541-270-6210

ADAM DENLINGER

GENERAL MANAGER
SEAL ROCK WATER DISTRICT

ADENLINGER@SWRD.ORG

541-563-3529

Announcements:

- Jackie Mikalonis – Please watch for IFA – Infrastructure Finance Authority – funding opportunities through Regional Solutions Team

Comment Cards:

- Overall water withdrawal in each basin.
 - Water availability in each basin
 - We have gone over some of this, but a table would be helpful
 - Who's using H2O where?
 - What's available in stream?
 - Pie chart of who uses the water in each basin
 - Is it mostly the fish plants, or breweries, or the business community?
- Need more sorting options for the partners on the web site.

Attendance

MCWPP Meeting 2/22/18

Name	Representing	1 st meeting	Signed Charter 2-22-18
Adam Denlinger	Seal Rock Water District		
Adam Sussman	GSI		
Alan Fujishin	Gibson Farms		
Andrew Arvin	Oregon Department of Forestry		
Audrey Sweet	Lincoln SWCD		
Bradley Wynn	Seal Rock Water District	1 st meeting	Signed charter
Caroline Bauman	Lincoln County Economic Alliance		
Chris Kowitz	OWRD		
Cyndi Karp	Ecosystem Advocate		
Dave Wilson	Siletz River Landowner		
David Waltz	DEQ		
Don Andri	Oregon Coast Com Forest Assoc.	1 st Meeting	Signed charter
Don & June Larsen	Siletz Watershed Council		2 Signed charter
Gouri Mahadwar	OSU Student	1st meeting	
Harmony Burright	OWRD		
Ingria Jones	GSI		
Jackie Mikalonis	Gov's Office Regional Solutions		
Jeanne Nyquist	IGS		
Jerry Anderson	Hancock Forest Management		Signed charter
Jim Tooke	Yachats City Council		
Jitesh Pattni	OR Dept. of Fish & Wildlife		
John Spangler	OR Dept. of Fish & Wildlife		

John Sullivan	Siletz River Landowner		
Josh Brainerd	Devils Lake WD	1 st meeting	
Joyce Sherman	Stewards of Rocky Creek		
Lee Ann Prchal	City of Newport		
Leon Nelson	Beverly Beach WD		
Mark Saelens	Lincoln County		
Mary Camarata	DEQ		
Maryanne Reiter	Weyerhaeuser		
Matt Thomas	ODF		
Mike Adams	City of Toledo		
Mike Davis	City of Toledo	1 st meeting	Signed charter
Mike Totey	OR Dept. of Forestry		Signed charter
Mellony Hoskinson	OWRD		
Nikki Hendricks	OWRD		
Paul Engelmeyer	Wetlands Conservancy		
Paul Robertson	Robertson Environmental		
Penelope Kaczmarek	Siletz CRA		
Peter Vince and Sparrow (assistant)	Videographers		
Preson Phillips	OR Parks & Rec Dept		
Rachel Lovellford	OWRD		
Ronan Igloria	IGS		Signed Charter
Seth Barnes	OR Forest Industries Council		
Shirlene Warnock	IGS		
Stephanie Reid	Lincoln City		Signed charter

Steve Bemis	Citizen		
Suzanne de Szoeko	GSI Water Solutions		
Tim Gross	City of Newport		
Vince Mastropietro	Self	1 st meeting	Signed charter
Wayne Hoffman	MidCoast Watersheds Council		

53 total in attendance.

6 attended for the first time.

10 additional signatures to the charter.